

Mathematics Department, Stanford University
205A Lecture Supplement #1, 2013
Lebesgue's theorem on the Riemann integral

We let $R = [a_1, b_1] \times \cdots \times [a_n, b_n]$ be any closed interval in \mathbb{R}^n . Recall that by a *partition* \mathcal{P} of R we mean the collection of closed intervals $I \subset R$ obtained by partitioning each of the edges of R ; thus for each $j = 1, \dots, n$ we select points $a_j = t_{j,0} < t_{j,1} < \cdots < t_{j,N_j} = b_j$ and then $\mathcal{P} = \{[t_{1,i_1-1}, t_{1,i_1}] \times [t_{2,i_2-1}, t_{2,i_2}] \times \cdots \times [t_{n,i_n-1}, t_{n,i_n}] : i_j \in \{1, \dots, N_j\} \text{ for each } j = 1, \dots, n\}$. The points $t_{j,0}, \dots, t_{j,N_j}$ are called “the j -th edge points” of the partition \mathcal{P} . For any $I = [t_{1,i_1-1}, t_{1,i_1}] \times [t_{2,i_2-1}, t_{2,i_2}] \times \cdots \times [t_{n,i_n-1}, t_{n,i_n}] \in \mathcal{P}$ we let \check{I} denote the corresponding open interval $(t_{1,i_1-1}, t_{1,i_1}) \times (t_{2,i_2-1}, t_{2,i_2}) \times \cdots \times (t_{n,i_n-1}, t_{n,i_n})$, and $\partial I = I \setminus \check{I}$.

Corresponding to any such partition \mathcal{P} of R , $U(f, \mathcal{P}) = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{P}} (\sup_I f) |I|$ is the “upper Riemann sum” and $L(f, \mathcal{P}) = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{P}} (\inf_I f) |I|$ is the “lower Riemann sum,” where $|I|$ is the volume of I (i.e. the product of the edge lengths of I), and recall that a bounded function $f : R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Riemann integrable if $\int_R f = \overline{\int}_R f$, where

$$\int_R f = \sup_{\text{partitions } \mathcal{P} \text{ of } R} L(f, \mathcal{P}), \quad \overline{\int}_R f = \inf_{\text{partitions } \mathcal{P} \text{ of } R} U(f, \mathcal{P}).$$

Recall also that then we have the “Riemann criterion,” which says that f is Riemann integrable on R if and only if for each $\delta > 0$ there is a partition \mathcal{P} of R such that $U(f, \mathcal{P}) - L(f, \mathcal{P}) < \delta$.

Theorem. *Let $f : [a_1, b_1] \times \cdots \times [a_n, b_n] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a bounded function. f is Riemann integrable \iff there is a set $A \subset [a_1, b_1] \times \cdots \times [a_n, b_n]$ of Lebesgue measure zero such that f is continuous at each point of $[a_1, b_1] \times \cdots \times [a_n, b_n] \setminus A$.*

(i.e. A bounded function f on an interval $R = [a_1, b_1] \times \cdots \times [a_n, b_n]$ is Riemann integrable if and only if f is continuous a.e. in R .)

Cautionary Remark: “ $f : R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous at each $x \in R \setminus A$ ” is a much stronger condition than “ $f|_{R \setminus A}$ is a continuous function,” and indeed $f|_{R \setminus A}$ continuous is in general not sufficient to ensure that f is Riemann integrable even if A has measure zero. For example if we take $R = [0, 1]$, $A =$ the set of rationals in $[0, 1]$, then A has measure zero but the function f which is 1 on A and 0 on $R \setminus A$ is not Riemann integrable because evidently $\int_R f = 0$ and $\overline{\int}_R f = 1$.

Proof of \implies : Observe, by the definition of continuity, that f discontinuous at $y \in (a_1, b_1) \times \cdots \times (a_n, b_n) \iff \exists \varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $\sup_I f - \inf_I f > \varepsilon_0 \forall$ open interval I with $y \in I \subset (a_1, b_1) \times \cdots \times (a_n, b_n)$, which is the same as saying there is a positive integer j such that $\sup_I f - \inf_I f > 1/j \forall$ open interval I with $y \in I \subset (a_1, b_1) \times \cdots \times (a_n, b_n)$. Thus the set of discontinuities of $f|(a_1, b_1) \times \cdots \times (a_n, b_n)$ can be written $\cup_{j=1}^{\infty} S_j$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_j = \{ & y \in (a_1, b_1) \times \cdots \times (a_n, b_n) : \sup_I f - \inf_I f > 1/j \\
 & \text{for every open interval } I \text{ with } y \in I \subset (a_1, b_1) \times \cdots \times (a_n, b_n)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since the countable union of sets of Lebesgue measure zero again has Lebesgue measure zero, it is thus enough to prove that S_j has Lebesgue measure zero for each j .

Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $j \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$, and note that by the above Riemann criterion we can pick a partition \mathcal{P} of the R such that $U(f, \mathcal{P}) - L(f, \mathcal{P}) < \varepsilon/j$. That is,

$$\sum_{I \in \mathcal{P}} \left(\sup_I f - \inf_I f \right) |I| < \varepsilon/j.$$

Since $\sup_{I \in \mathcal{P}} f - \inf_I f \geq \sup_{\check{I}} f - \inf_{\check{I}} f \geq 1/j$ whenever $S_j \cap \check{I} \neq \emptyset$ (by definition of S_j), where \check{I} denotes the open interval $I \setminus \partial I$, the above evidently implies

$$\sum_{\{i : S_j \cap \check{I} \neq \emptyset\}} (1/j) |I| < \varepsilon/j;$$

that is,

$$(\ddagger) \quad \sum_{\{I \in \mathcal{P} : S_j \cap \check{I} \neq \emptyset\}} |I| < \varepsilon.$$

But the intervals I , $I \in \mathcal{P}$, cover the entire interval R , hence $R \setminus \cup_{I \in \mathcal{P}} \partial I = \cup_{I \in \mathcal{P}} \check{I}$ and trivially therefore $S_j \setminus \cup_{I \in \mathcal{P}} \partial I \subset \cup_{\{I \in \mathcal{P} : S_j \cap \check{I} \neq \emptyset\}} \check{I}$. Of course ∂I has Lebesgue measure zero for each $I \in \mathcal{P}$, so (\ddagger) proves that S_j can be covered by a finite union of intervals of total length $< \varepsilon$ and hence S_j has Lebesgue measure zero as required.

Proof of \Leftarrow : Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and cover the set S of discontinuities of f by a countable union I_j of open intervals such that $\sum_j |I_j| < \varepsilon$. Then $K \equiv R \setminus \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} I_j$ is a compact set and f (as a function of $x \in R$) is continuous at each point of this compact set. We can therefore assert that

$$(*) \quad \exists \delta > 0 \text{ such that } |f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon \text{ whenever } x \in K, y \in R, \text{ and } |x - y| < \delta.$$

Notice that the statement $(*)$ is stronger than the standard fact that a continuous function on a compact set is uniformly continuous, because only the point x , and not necessarily the point y , is required to be in the compact set K —on the other hand, the proof using the Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem is almost identical to the usual Bolzano-Weierstrass proof of this standard fact, as follows: If there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $(*)$ fails for each $\delta > 0$ then it fails with $\delta = \frac{1}{k}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, and hence there are points $x_k \in K, y_k \in R$ such that $|x_k - y_k| < \frac{1}{k}$ but $|f(x_k) - f(y_k)| \geq \varepsilon$. Then by the Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem we can find a convergent subsequence x_{k_j} with $x = \lim x_{k_j}$, and $x \in K$ because K is closed. Since $|x_{k_j} - y_{k_j}| < \frac{1}{k_j} \leq \frac{1}{j}$ we also have $\lim y_{k_j} = x$, and so by continuity of f at x we have $f(x_{k_j}) - f(y_{k_j}) \rightarrow f(x) - f(x) = 0$, contradicting the fact that $|f(x_{k_j}) - f(y_{k_j})| \geq \varepsilon$ for each j .

Now, with such a δ , we select any partition \mathcal{P} of R with $\text{diam } I < \delta$ for each $I \in \mathcal{P}$. For any $I \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $I \cap K \neq \emptyset$ we have by $(*)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_I f - \inf_I f &= \sup_{z_1, z_2 \in I} (f(z_1) - f(z_2)) \\ &= \sup_{z_1, z_2 \in I} ((f(z_1) - f(y_I)) - (f(z_2) - f(y_I))) \leq \varepsilon + \varepsilon = 2\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where y_I is any point in $I \cap K$, while of course the sum of the volumes $|I|$ over the remaining $I \in \mathcal{P}$ is $\leq \varepsilon$ (because these remaining intervals I have the property $I \cap K = \emptyset$ and hence $I \subset R \setminus K = R \setminus (R \setminus (\cup_j I_j)) \subset \cup_j I_j$). Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} U(f, \mathcal{P}) - L(f, \mathcal{P}) &= \sum_{I \in \mathcal{P}} (\sup_I f - \inf_I f) |I| \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon |R| + (\sup_R f - \inf_R f) \varepsilon \leq 2\varepsilon(|R| + M), \quad M = \sup_R |f|. \end{aligned}$$